

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/717,419 | 11/19/2003 | Koji Segawa | 16CT02147 | 7775 |
| 75 | 590 05/12/200 | | EXAMINER | |
| Patrick W. Ra | | HO, ALLEN C | | |
| Armstrong Teas Suite 2600 | sdale LLP | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| One Metropolitan Square | | | 2882 | |
| St. Louis, MO 63102 | | | DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | T | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/717,419 | SEGAWA | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | но | 2882 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | h the correspondence addre | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offication (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on but it does not provide the proposed reply was received to but it does not provide the proposed reply was received to but it does not provide the proposed reply was received to but it does not provide the proposed reply was received to but it does not provide the proposed reply was received to but it does not provide the proposed reply was received to but it does not provide the proposed reply was received to but it does not provide the provid | Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expire | ed on . | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it doe (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejecti application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | ion consists only of: (1) a timely ed Notice of Appeal (with appe | filed amendment which places | e tha |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not const final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | titute a proper reply, or a bona | ide attempt at a proper reply, t | o the non- |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | · | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wander the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | -85). as received on (with a period for payment of the issue | Certificate of Mailing or Trans | mission dated |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balan | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | | d by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) \(\bigcirc \) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has | not been received. | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as red Allowability (PTO-37). (2) December 1. | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing | or Transmission dated) | , which is |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by t the applicants. | he attomey or agent of record, | the assignee of the entire inter | est, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attomey or agent (acting in a | a representative capacity under | r 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classification. | | because the period for seeking | g court review |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | lgd | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withd minimize any negative effects on patent term. | fraw the holding of abandonment u | nder 37 CFR 1.181, should be pro | mptly filed to |